

WPA 7587 of 2016
(Via Video Conference)

Supriya Biswas
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Ms. Nahid Ahmed
Mr. Abhra Jena

..for the petitioner

Mr. Prabir Kumar Roy

...for the State

The writ petition has come up in the list under the heading “To Be Mentioned” for non-prosecution.

Ms. Nahid Ahmed, learned advocate appearing for the writ petitioner submits on instruction that the writ petitioner does not want to proceed with the writ petition and also prays for leave to take necessary steps with regard to grievance of the writ petitioner in accordance with law.

The letter dated 13th February, 2022 which Ms. Ahmed has received from her client is taken on record.

It also appears that the notices of this matter have also been served upon the respondents.

State respondents are represented by Mr. Prabir Kumar Roy, learned advocate.

Considering the submission made on behalf of the writ petitioner the writ petition stands dismissed on the ground of non-prosecution with liberty to the petitioner to take necessary steps in accordance with law.

The notice dated 13th February, 2022 along with postal slips are also taken on record.

However, there shall be no order as to costs.

Urgent photostat certified copy of the order, if applied for, be given to the parties on compliance of requisite formalities.

(Saugata Bhattacharyya, J.)