

21-09-2022
Item no.13
Subrata
Bhattacharyya

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Special Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

CPAN No.1111 of 2000
Paritosh Kumar Mondal
-vs-
Sri Kamal Ganguli
arising out of
WPA No.9749 of 1997

None

...for the petitioner

To press the contempt application, none represents the petitioner.

The petitioners, by way of this contempt application under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India dated June 23, 2000, sought for punishment of the alleged contemner/respondent concerned in the writ petition for non-compliance of an order dated November 26, 1999 passed by this court in WP No.9749(W) of 1997.

It appears that since filing of the contempt application, none moved to press it.

I feel that the petitioner is no longer interested to proceed with the application on hand.

Accordingly, the contempt application stands dismissed for non-appearance of the petitioner.

[Rabindranath Samanta, J]

