

22.02.2024.
PB
Sl. No.224.

CPAN 118 of 2023
in
WPA 9716 of 2022

Sudhanshu Sekhar Pal
Vs
Sri Uttam Das

Mr. Sukhendu Banerjee,
Mr. Dilip Kumar Mandal.
... For the Petitioner.
Mr. Syamal Kr. Das,
Ms. Krishna Yadav.
.....for the Municipality.

Reasoned order dated 29th December, 2023
passed by the Chairman of the municipality is placed
before this Court.

It appears therefrom that the municipality has
considered the case of the petitioner and has opined
that the petitioner can take separate line from the
main service connection existing in the Holding No. 68
(formerly No.45) Old Calcutta Road, Ward No.10.

The petitioner can either act in accordance with
the direction passed in the reasoned order or in the
event the petitioner is aggrieved by the reasoned order
passed by the Chairman of the municipality, then it
will be open for the petitioner to challenge the same in
a separate proceeding. The legality of the reasoned
order cannot be adjudicated in the contempt
proceeding.

The contempt application is disposed of.

Urgent certified photocopy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties expeditiously on compliance of usual legal formalities.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)