

08.06.2022
tkm/ct 28
sl no.127

C.R.M. (A) 2434 of 2022

In Re : An application under section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Raghunathganj PS case no. 24/2021 dated 14.1.2021 under sections 21(c)/25/28/29 of the NDPS Act
And

In Re : Sokhina Khatun petitioner

Mr. B P Subba
Mr. R Singh

..... for the petitioner

Mr. N Ahmed
Ms. A Gaur

..... for the State

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he is not the principal accused and he has been falsely implicated in the instant case.

Learned lawyer for the State opposes the prayer or anticipatory bail and submits that the petitioner is the owner of the house wherefrom narcotic substance above commercial quantity was recovered.

We have considered materials on record. Petitioner is the owner of the premises wherefrom narcotic substance above commercial quantity was recovered.

In view of the nature of offence, we are of the opinion that this is not a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Ananya Bandyopadhyay, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)