

08.06.2022
tkm/ct 28
sl no.122

C.R.M. (A) 2428 of 2022

In Re : An application under section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Kasba PS case no. 21/2022 dated 22.1.2022 under section 420 of the IPC

And

In Re : Niraj Yadav petitioner

Mr. Brojesh Jha
Ms. Jonaki Saha

..... for the petitioner

Mr. R D Nandi
Ms. Sonali Das

..... for the State

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he is no way connected with the affairs of the company which was carrying on money circulation business.

Learned lawyer for the State opposes the prayer or anticipatory bail.

We have considered materials on record including the statement of witnesses disclosing role of the petitioner in the affairs of the company which was unauthorizedly inviting deposits from the public and misappropriately the said monies.

In view of the gravity of offence and prima facie involvement of the petitioner therein, we are of the opinion that this is not a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Ananya Bandyopadhyay, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)