

05-12-2022
Item no.27
Subrata
Bhattacharyya

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Special Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

CPAN No.912 of 2001
Mihir Kumar Mondal
-vs-
Dilip Sarkar
arising out of
WPA No.113 of 2000

None

...for the petitioner

To press the contempt application, none represents the petitioner.

The petitioner, by way of this contempt application under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India filed on July 5, 2001, sought for punishment of the alleged contemner/respondent concerned in the writ petition for non-compliance of an order dated July 4, 2000 passed by this court in WP No.113(W) of 2000.

It appears that since filing of the contempt application, none moved to press it.

I feel that the petitioner is no longer interested to proceed with the application on hand.

Accordingly, the contempt application stands dismissed for non-appearance of the petitioner.

[Rabindranath Samanta, J]

