

33 21.06.
AG 2022
M/R
KB
Ct
07

C.O. 1411 of 2022

**Salimuddin Sk.
Vs
Sahajada Khadim & Ors.**

Mr. Dyutiman Banerjee , ... For the petitioner.

A direction to secure expeditious disposal of an application for temporary injunction under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure is the ultimate relief sought for in this case.

No other point is raised requiring address by this Court.

It is submitted by learned advocate appearing for the petitioner that since 2019, the injunction application, though filed, could not be disposed of in accordance with provisions of law.

In view of the nature of the order proposed to be made in this case, no prior notice is considered to be necessary upon the opposite parties.

Service of notice of this application upon opposite parties stands dispensed with.

Accordingly, the learned Civil Judge, Junior Division, 1st Court, Krishnanagar, Nadia, in Title Suit No. 105 of 2014 is requested to ensure expeditious disposal of pending interlocutory application, praying for temporary injunction under Order 39 Rules 1 and

2 of C.P.C, providing sufficient opportunity of hearing to either of the parties to this case, but without granting unnecessary adjournments, unless it is extremely unavoidable.

Petitioner is directed to make communication of this order to the learned court below as well as to the learned advocate appearing in the court below for the opposite parties and the opposite parties.

With this observation and direction, the revisional application stands disposed of.

Urgent photostat certified copy of the order, if applied for, be given to the parties on usual undertakings.

(Subhasis Dasgupta, J)