

08.06.2022.
p.b.
Sl. No.33.

W.P.A. 9225 of 2022

SMJ Eximp Limited
Vs.
Union of India & Ors.

Mr. Avra Mazumder,
Mr. Sk. Md. Bilwal Hossain,
Mr. Binayak Gupta,
Ms. Megha Agarwal.
.....for the petitioner.

Mr. Aryak Dutt..
.....for the respondent.

Heard learned advocates appearing for the parties.

In this writ petition, petitioner has challenged the impugned notice dated 30th March, 2021 relating to assessment year 2014-15 under Section 148 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 and impugned assessment order dated 16th March, 2022 passed under Section 147 read with Section 144 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 on the ground that the noticee company is no more in existence and it has already been amalgamated with effect from 23rd December, 2005 by the order of this Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta, dated December 22, 2005 and the respondent department was intimated on the amalgamation of the noticee company on 13th January, 2009. It is the grievance of the petitioner that in spite of intimation of the fact that the assessee is

not existing, still respondent is proceeding with the impugned reassessment proceeding and submits that the whole proceeding and the impugned notice and assessment order are bad and not sustainable in law against the non-existing company.

In support of his contention, Mr. Avra Mazumder, learned advocate appearing for the petitioner has relied on a decision of the Hon'ble Gujarat High Court in the case of **Takshashila Realities Pvt. Ltd. vs. Dy. Commissioner of Income Tax** reported in 2016 SCC Online Guj 6462 and specifically relies on paragraph 10 of the said judgment which is hereinbelow:

“10. Heard the learned counsels appearing on behalf of the respective parties at length. At the outset, it is required to be noted and it is not in dispute that the impugned notices under Section 148 of the Income Tax Act have been issued against the original assessee on 21.01.2011 to reopen the assessment for the assessment year 2009-10. It is also not in dispute that the respective petitioners original assessee are ordered to be amalgamated with one Takshashila Gruh Nirman (subsequently named as Takshashila Realities Pvt. Ltd.). The scheme of amalgamation has been sanctioned by this Court, by which the respective petitioners are ordered to be amalgamated into Takshashila Gruh Nirman (subsequently named as Takshashila Realities Pvt. Ltd.)

with effect from 01.04.2010. Under the circumstances, when the impugned notices are issued against the original assessee-amalgamating company on 21.01.2011, it can be said that the same has been issued against the non-existent company. It cannot be disputed that once the scheme for amalgamation has been sanctioned by the Court with effect from 01.04.2010, from that date amalgamating company would not be in existence. Under the circumstances, non-existent company, cannot be sustained and the same deserves to be quashed and set aside. Identical question came to be considered by the Division Bench of this Court in the case of Khurana Engineering Ltd. (Supra). It was the case where the original assessee company was ordered to be amalgamated with effect from 01.04.2009. Notice under Section 148 of the Income Tax Act was issued against and the transferor company-amalgamating company on 20.06.2012. The Division Bench of this Court in a writ petition filed by the transferor company has observed and held that on and from the appointed date, as per the scheme of amalgamation sanctioned by the Court, the transferor company shall not be in existence, and therefore, the impugned notices against the transferor company (non-existent company) shall not be permissible. The Division Bench has observed that in such a situation the assessment can always be made and is supposed to be

made on the transferee company taking into account the income of both the transferor and transferee company and also the more advisable course from the point of view of the revenue would be to make one assessment on the transferee company and to make separate protective assessments on both the transferor and transferee companies separately transferor company would no longer be amenable to the assessment proceedings for the Assessment Year 2010-11, and therefore, notice for producing documents for such assessment would therefore be invalid.”

Learned advocate appearing for the respondents is not in a position to contradict the admitted facts as appear from records.

Considering the submissions of the parties, I am of the view that the impugned notice dated 30th March, 2021 (Annexure P-3 to the writ petition) and assessment order dated March 16, 2022 (Annexure P-4 to the writ petition) are not tenable in the eye of law and all further steps pursuant to the said impugned notice also are not tenable in the eye of law. This writ petition is allowed and the impugned notice is quashed solely on the ground that the impugned notice was issued in the name of non-existing company in spite of revenue having notice and knowledge of non-existence of such company.

Since no affidavits have been called for, allegations made in the writ petition are deemed to have been denied by the respondents.

Accordingly, WPA 9225 of 2022 is disposed of.

(Md. Nizamuddin, J.)