

19.05.2022

21
Ct. No. 29
KAUSHIK
REJECTED

C.R.M.(A) 2259 of 2022

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Raghunathganj** Police Station case No. **808** of **2021** dated **18.12.2021** under Sections 143/341/323/325/326/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Firoz Sk. & Anr.

..... petitioners

Mr. Avik Dutta

Mr. Syed Julfikar Ali

....for the petitioners

Mr. S. G. Mukherjee, learned Public Prosecutor

Mr. Aniket Mitra

....for the State

Petitioners pray for anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that, the petitioners were falsely implicated. There are case and counter-cases between the private parties. The present police case is a result of political vendetta as against the petitioners.

Learned advocate appearing for the State submits that, one of the victim ultimately succumbed to the injuries. He refers to the materials in the case diary. He submits that, there are criminal antecedents so far as the petitioners are concerned.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioners therein as transpiring from the materials in the case diary, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is rejected and the application being CRM (A) 2259 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)