

19.05.2022
Serial no. 09
[Dd]
(Anticipatory bail
Rejected)

CRM (A) 2243 of 2022

In re : An Application for **Anticipatory Bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Jetia** Police Station Case No. **1** of **2022** dated **28.04.2022** under Sections **498A/306** of the Indian Penal Code and **3/4** of D.P. Act.

-And-

In the matter of : **Smt. Mousumi Mallick & Anr.**
... ..**Petitioners**

Mr. Debasis Kar,
Mr. Husen Mustafi,
Mr. Subhajit Chowdhury, Advocates
... .. For the Petitioners

Mr. Ranadeb Sengupta, Advocate
... ..For the State

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners reside in Assam. They were falsely implicated.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the dying declaration of the victim.

Considering the dying declaration of the victim, gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioners therein, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is **rejected**.

CRM (A) 2243 of 2022 is **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)