

17.05.2022
50
SB
Ct. No.39

CRR 1642 of 2022

In the matter of : Mr. Anjan Sen

Mr. Samarendra Dutta
Ms. Sandhya Das Adhikari
Mr. Girish Chandra Gupta
Mr. K.D. Das ... for the petitioner

Mr. Tanmay Kumar Ghosh
Md. Kutub Uddin ... for the State

This is an application seeking an expeditious disposal of a proceeding in which a charge sheet was submitted under sections 323, 498A, read with Section 34 of the Penal Code. .

Let a copy of the revisional application be served upon Mr. Tanmay Kumar Ghosh and Md. Kutub Uddin, learned counsels, who ordinarily appear on behalf of the State. They are requested to appear in this matter. Their engagement may be regularised by the competent authority of the State in due course.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner is an accused in this case. He is the husband of the opposite party no. 2 / de facto complainant. An F.I.R in this case was registered in 2010. A charge sheet was submitted in 2010 itself. In all, nine witnesses were proposed to be examined by the prosecution. Charges were framed. At present the matter is pending at the stage of examination of P.W.6. The proceeding has dragged on for a long time. In view of the above a direction would be pass to expedite the trial.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State submits that State would not come in the way if a direction is passed for an expeditious disposal of the proceeding.

I have heard the submissions of the learned counsels for the appearing for the petitioner and the State and have perused the revision petition.

No prejudice would be caused if a direction will be passed to expedite the proceeding.

It appears that there is an inordinate delay is occasioned in concluding the trial. Regardless of whoever may be the primary responsible for protracting the trial, a direction needs to be passed to expedite the proceeding.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, the learned Trial Court is requested to conclude the trial as expeditiously as possible without granting any unnecessary adjournment to any of the parties, preferably within a year from the next date of hearing.

With these observations, the revisional application is disposed of.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties, upon completion of requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)