

CRM (DB) 1263 of 2022

In re: An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Eco Park P.S. Case No. 41 of 2022 dated 24.02.2022 under Sections 326B/506/509 of the Indian Penal Code.

-And-

In the matter of : **Miraj Ali Molla**

... ..Petitioner

Ms. Minoti Gomes, Advocate

Mr. M. H. Chowdhury, Advocate

... .. For the Petitioner

Mr. P.K. Datta, Id. APP

Mr. Santanu Deb Roy, Advocate

... .. For the State

Mr. Aniruddha Bhattacharya, Advocate

... .. For the de facto complainant

Petitioner prays for bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that no acid was recovered from the possession of the petitioner. The petitioner and the de facto complainant were in a relationship. The petitioner was falsely implicated.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State draws the attention to the seizure list, the statement of the de facto complainant and the other materials in the case diary.

Learned Advocate appearing for the de facto complainant submits that the petitioner threatened the de facto complainant with acid attack on the social media platform on the day before the incident. He submits that the petitioner is also threatening the de facto complainant on the social media platform.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioner therein as transpiring from the materials in the case diary, we are unable to grant bail to the petitioner.

CRM (DB) 1263 of 2022 is rejected.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)