

56 13.05.2022
(AD) Court No.29

(Rejected)

C.R.M. (DB) 1248 of 2022

In Re: - An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Taldangra** P.S. Case No.**85 of 2021** dated **31.10.2021** under Sections **341/325/302/506/120B** of the Indian Penal Code corresponding to G.R. No.520 of 2021 and Sessions Case No.9 of 2022.

And

In the matter of: Kartik Maji alias Kartick Majhi & Ors.

....petitioners.

Ms. Somsubhra Ganguly
Mr. Abhishek Chakraborty

...for the petitioners.

Mr. Madhusudan Sur, Ld. APP
Mr. Dipankar Paramanick

... for the State.

Petitioners pray for bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are in custody for 193 days. The police submitted charge sheet and, therefore, further detention of the petitioners is not required. Five other co-accuseds were granted bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the statement of an eye-witness recorded under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

The statement of such eye-witness squarely implicates all the three petitioners and ascribes specific role in the incident of murder. Consequently, we are unable to grant bail to the petitioners.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioners is rejected.

C.R.M. (DB) 1248 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)