

05.05.2022
Serial no. 72, 73,
74, 75 & 76
Aloke
Ct. No. 29

CRM (DB) 1197 of 2022

In re: An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Bagnan P.S. Case No. 285 of 2021 dated 09.06.2021 under Sections 379/511/427 of the Indian Penal Code read with Sections 15/16 of the Petroleum & Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Rights of User in Land) Act, 1962 and Section 3/4 of the Explosive Substances Act and Section 3/4 of the Prevention of Damage to Public Property Act.

-And-

In the matter of: Ajay Singh @ Ajoy Singh

... Petitioner

WITH

CRM (DB) 1198 of 2022

In re: An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Bagnan P.S. Case No. 261 of 2021 dated 18.05.2021 under Sections 379/511/427 of the Indian Penal Code read with Sections 15/16 of the Petroleum & Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Rights of User in Land) Act, 1962 and Section 3/4 of the Explosive Substances Act and Section 3/4 of the Prevention of Damage to Public Property Act.

-And-

In the matter of: Ajay Singh @ Ajoy Singh

... Petitioner

WITH

CRM (DB) 1200 of 2022

In re: An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Bagnan P.S. Case No. 295 of 2021 dated 15.06.2021 under Sections 379/427 of the Indian Penal Code adding Section read with Sections 15/16 of the Petroleum & Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Rights of User in Land) Act, 1962 and Section 3/4 of the Explosive Substances Act and Section 3/4 of the Prevention of Damage to Public Property Act.

-And-

In the matter of: Ajay Singh @ Ajoy Singh

... Petitioner

WITH

CRM (DB) 1202 of 2022

In re: An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Bagnan P.S. Case No.11 of 2021 dated 09.01.2021 under Sections 379/427 of the Indian Penal Code read with Sections 15/16 of the Petroleum & Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Rights of User in Land) Act, 1962 and Section 3/4 of the Explosive Substances Act and Section 3/4 of the Prevention of Damage to Public Property Act.

-And-

In the matter of: Ajay Singh @ Ajoy Singh

... Petitioner

WITH

CRM (DB) 1203 of 2022

In re: An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Bagnan P.S. Case No.430 of 2020 dated 12.12.2020 under Sections 379/411/427 of the Indian Penal Code read with Sections 15/16 of the Petroleum & Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Rights of User in Land) Act, 1962 and Section 3/4 of the Explosive Substances Act and Section 3/4 of the Prevention of Damage to Public Property Act.

-And-

In the matter of: Ajay Singh @ Ajoy Singh

... Petitioner

Mr. Ayan Basu, Advocate

Mr. Debakinandan Maiti, Advocate

Mr. Sumit Routh, Advocate

Mr. Sourav Bera, Advocate

... .. For the Petitioner

Mr. Sandipan Ganguly, Sr. Advocate
 Mr. Anirban Dutta, Advocate
 Ms. Sharmistha Ghosh, Advocate
 Mr. Victor Chatterjee, Advocate
 ... For the De facto complainant
 Mr. Sudip Ghosh, Advocate
 Mr. Bitasok Banerjee, Advocate
 For the State
 CRM (DB) 1197 of 2022
 Mr. Ranabir Roy Chowdhury, Advocate
 Mr. Sandip Chakraborty, Advocate
 For the State
 CRM (DB) 1198 of 2022
 Mr. Swapan Banerjee, Advocate
 Ms. Purnima Ghosh, Advocate
 For the State
 CRM (DB) 1200 of 2022
 Mr. Rudradipta Nandy, Advocate
 For the State
 CRM (DB) 1202 of 2022

 Mr. Saibal Bapuli, Ld. APP
 Mr. Arijit Ganguly, Advocate
 Ms. Arani Bhattacharyya, Advocate
 For the State
 CRM (DB) 1203 of 2022

Five applications for bail are taken up for analogous hearing as they are at the instance of the same petitioner.

The petitioner is in custody in all of the five police cases.

It is contended on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner was falsely implicated. No recovery was made from the possession of the petitioner. The alleged recoveries are shown to be at a place which are public place such as National Highway. The alleged seizures were not witnessed by any independent person. The petitioner was falsely implicated in all the police cases.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State submits that the petitioner is a part of the racket involving extraction of petroleum products from the pipelines of oil companies. He refers to the materials in the case diary. He submits that apparatus used for the purpose of puncturing the oil pipeline

and extracting petroleum products therefrom were seized from the possession of the petitioner.

Learned advocate appearing for the de facto complainant submits that by reason of the criminal activities of the petitioner, the oil companies suffered losses.

There are materials in the case diaries of the respective cases implicating the petitioner in the offences alleged.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioner therein as transpiring from the materials in the case diary, we are unable to grant bail to the petitioner.

CRM (DB) 1197 of 2022, CRM (DB) 1198 of 2022, CRM (DB) 1200 of 2022, CRM (DB) 1202 of 2022 and CRM (DB) 1203 of 2022 are rejected.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)