

25-07-2022
Subha
Item no.28
Ct no.34

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Criminal Revisional Jurisdiction

CRR 1394 of 2000

Chandra Sekhar Mukherjee
-versus-
The State of West Bengal & Anr.

Mr. Arijit Ganguly
Mr. Sandip Chakraborty

....for the State.

The present revisional application was preferred challenging the order of the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Durgapur in criminal Motion No. 243 of 1999.

The genesis of the case related to the order dated 29th April, 1999 wherein the learned court the then learned S.D.J.M, Durgapur in G. R. Case No. 173 of 1997 under Sections 406/420 of the Indian Penal Code was pleased to frame charge against the accused persons.

The revisional court on appreciation of the materials, which included the factual circumstances, dismissed the revisional application and affirmed the order passed by the learned Magistrate.

The record of the revisional application reflects that till date the revisional application has not been admitted.

However, as none appeared on behalf of the State, Mr. Arijit Ganguly, who ordinarily appears on behalf of the State is directed to appear on behalf of the State. His appearance may be regularized by the concerned authorities in due course.

Having regard to the fact that the revisional application relate to

question of facts which are to be gone into at the stage of trial, I am of the opinion that no interference is called for by this court.

Accordingly, the revisional application being CRR 1394 of 2000 is hereby dismissed.

Pending applications, if any, are consequently disposed of.

Interim order, if any, is hereby vacated.

All concerned parties are to act in terms of a copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this court.

[Tirthankar Ghosh, J]