

64  
(AD)

29.04.2022

Court No.29

(Rejected)

### **C.R.M. (DB) 1132 of 2022**

In Re: - An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Canning** P.S. Case No.**494 of 2021** dated **21.11.2021** under Sections **302/120B/201/212** of the Indian Penal Code and read with Sections **27/35** of Arms Act.

And

In the matter of: Ejajul Gazi & Ors.

....petitioners.

Mr. Bikash Ranjan Bhattacharjee, Sr, Advocate  
Mr. Uday Sankar Chattopadhyay  
Mr. Suman Sankar Chattopadhyay  
Mr. Santanu Maji  
Ms. Trisha Rakshit

...for the petitioners.

Mr. Madhusudan Sur, Ld. APP  
Mr. Dipankar Paramanick

... for the State.

Mr. Sabir Ahmed  
Mr. Anirban Guha Thakurata  
Mr. Sraman Sarkar  
Mr. Angshuman Chakraboprtty  
Mr. Shashanka Shekhar Saha

... for the de facto complainant.

Petitioners seek bail.

Learned Senior Advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the police submitted charge sheet. The petitioners are in custody in excess of 150 days. He submits that there is no material implicating any of the petitioners.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the seizure list and to the statements of the witnesses recorded under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

De facto complainant is represented.

Seizure was made from the petitioner nos.2 and 3. A vehicle was seized from the property belonging to the petitioner no.1. Such vehicle was used to carry the people for the murder.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioners therein as transpiring from the materials in the case diary, we are unable to grant bail to any of the petitioners.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioners is rejected.

**C.R.M. (DB) 1132 of 2022** is dismissed.

**(Debangsu Basak, J.)**

**(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)**