

29.04.2022
Serial no.63
[Dd]
(Bail **Rejected**)

CRM (DB) 1131 of 2022

In re : An Application for **Bail** under Section **439** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Khanakul** Police Station Case No. **332** of **2021** dated **21.08.2021** under Sections **363/365/328/376(2)(n)/120B/504/506/323** of the Indian Penal Code and Section **4/6(I)** of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012. Arising out of POCSO case no. 16. 2021.

-And-

In the matter of : Sekendar Molla

... ...Petitioner

Mr. Swapan Chatterjee, Advocate
... ... *For the Petitioner*

Mr. Madhusudan Sur, Id. APP
Mr. Manaranjan Mahata, Advocates
... ...*For the State*

Petitioner renews the prayer for bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for 250 days. There was a relationship between the petitioner and the victim. In fact the victim and the petitioner were married.

Learned advocate appearing for the State submits that the claim for marriage was agitated before the Court rejecting the prayer for bail.

There is hardly any material change in circumstances subsequent to the earlier order of rejection.

The claim for marriage was raised before the co-ordinate Bench.

In such circumstances, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

CRM (DB) 1131 of 2022 is **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)