

26.04.2022

33

Ct. No. 29

**KAUSHIK
REJECTED**

C.R.M.(A) 1890 of 2022

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Harishchandrapur** Police Station Case No. **391** of **2020** dated **21.06.2020** under Sections 341/326/324/379/307/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Sri Paritosh Mondal

..... petitioner

Mr. Tapash Kumar Bhattacharya

Mr. Aviroop Bhattacharya

....for the petitioner

Mr. Arijit Ganguly

Mr. Avik Ghatak

....for the State

Petitioner prays for anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that, the petitioner was falsely implicated.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the materials in the case diary. He submits that, the victim suffered injuries from a spear. Her left breast was cut.

There are materials in the case diary implicating the petitioner in the incident.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioner therein as transpiring from the materials in the

case diary, we are unable to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected and the application being CRM (A) 1890 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)