

CRM (A) 1872 of 2022

26.04.2022
Sl. 18
Court No.29
suvayan
(Rejected)

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Kankartala** P.S. Case No. **100 of 2021** dated **16/10/2021** under Sections **341/324/326/307/286/34** of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 adding Section **302** of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 read with Sections **3/4** of the Explosive Substances Act, 1884.

And

In the matter of: Prakash Chowdhury @ Shibram Chowdhury & Ors.
....petitioners.

Mr. Kunal Ganguly

... for the petitioners.

Mr. Swapan Banerjee

Ms. Purnima Ghosh

...for the State.

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are not named in the First Information Report. The First Information Report was lodged by an eyewitness.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State submits that on investigations, the names of the petitioners transpired. The investigations are yet to be concluded.

Considering the materials in the case diary and considering the gravity of the offence as appearing from the case diary, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

The application for anticipatory bail, being CRM (A) 1872 of 2022, is rejected.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)

