

CRM (A) 1843 of 2022

25.04.2022
Sl. 18
Court No.29
suvayan
(Rejected)

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Chanditala** P.S. Case No. **456 of 2021** dated **19/11/2022** under Sections **406/420/465/466/467/468** of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.
And

In the matter of: Dalim Bala Manna & Ors.

....petitioners.

Mr. Nilanjan Adhikari

... for the petitioners.

Md. Anwar Hossain

Ms. Benajir Hasna

...for the State.

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were falsely implicated.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the materials in the case diary.

Apparently, the petitioners obtained mutation in respect of an immovable property by virtue of a registered deed of conveyance. It is claimed that the vendor died prior to the execution of the conveyance.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioners therein, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

The application for anticipatory bail, being CRM (A) 1843 of 2022, is rejected.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)

