

D/L24
April 22,
2022
Bpg.

C.R.R. No.1292 of 2022

In Re: An application under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal
Procedure, 1973;

Sk. Sahabuddin @ Hablu
Versus
The State of West Bengal

Mr. Sudip Ghosh Chowdhury,
Mr. Argha Das,
Mr. Abhishek Bose.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Saswata Gopal Mukherjee, Ld.P.P.,
Mr. Arijit Ganguly,
Ms. Debjani Sahu.
...for the State.

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since December, 2019 and till date no witness has been examined, although he is in custody for more than 28 months.

Mr. Arijit Ganguly, learned advocate, who ordinarily appears on behalf of the State, is directed to represent on behalf of the State in this matter. His appointment may be regularised by the concerned authorities.

Having regard to the contentions advanced by the petitioner and the fact that the petitioner is in custody, I am of the opinion that the learned trial court should fix schedule consisting of three dates for examination of the witnesses and such schedule/dates should be fixed once in every 60 days so that the trial of the case can be taken to its logical conclusion within a

reasonable period of time. No unnecessary adjournment should be granted to either of the parties and dates/schedule should be fixed only after the learned public prosecutor assures the court regarding the availability of the witnesses.

With the aforesaid observations, CRR 1292 of 2022 is disposed of.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)