

21.04.2022
Serial no.13
KS

CRM(A) 1788 of 2022

In re: An Application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Purulia(M) Police Station Case No.57/22 dated 04.03.2022 under Sections 498A/ 307/ 406/ 325/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

-And-

In the matter of: **Sajid Ansary & Anr.**

Mr. P. K. Gupta

Mr. S. Mitra

Ms. S. Nesar

Ms. A. Dey

.....for the Petitioners

Mr. B. K. Roy

Ms. R. Datta

.....for the State

Petitioners pray for anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that there was a delay in the lodgment of the First Information Report. The petitioners were falsely implicated.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the contents of the First Information Report and the materials in the case diary.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioners as it transpires from the Case Diary and considering the fact that the petitioner no.1 is the husband of the de facto complainant and the petitioner no.2 is the father in law of the de facto complainant, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

In such circumstances, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is rejected and the application being CRM(A) 1788 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)