

In the matter of:- Suvankar Sarkar @ Suvo

...petitioner

Anindya Ghosh.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Arijit Ganguly,
Mr. Pratick Bose.
....for the State.

This is an application seeking expeditious disposal of a proceeding in which a charge sheet was submitted under Section 21(c) of the NDPS Act.

Let a copy of this application be served upon Mr. Arijit Ganguly and Mr. Pratick Bose, learned Counsels who ordinarily appear on behalf of the State. Let them represent the State. Their engagement may be regularised by the competent authority of the State in due course.

Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner is an accused in this case. He is in custody since 25.02.2019, the date on which the FIR was registered. A charge sheet was submitted on 19th April, 2019. In all, ten witnesses are proposed to be examined in this case. Charges were framed on 28.01.2021. However, till date, only a single witness could be examined. The matter has remained pending for no fault on the part of the present petitioner.

Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the State submits that the State would not come in the way if a direction is passed to expedite the proceeding.

I have heard the submissions of the learned counsels appearing on behalf of the petitioner and the State and have perused the revision petition.

It appears that an inordinate delay has been occasioned in

concluding the trial, especially considering the fact that the petitioner is languishing in custody since 25.02.2019.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, the learned Court is requested to conclude the trial as expeditiously as possible without granting any unnecessary adjournment to any of the parties, preferably within a period of nine months from the next date of hearing.

With the above observations, the revisional application is disposed of.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order may be supplied to the parties expeditiously, if applied for.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)