

6 16.09.
AG 2022
M/R
KB
Ct
07

C.O. 912 of 2022

**Sri Anupam Biswas
Vs
Smt. Shila Biswas & Ors**

Mr. Animesh Paul, ... For the petitioner.

The only contention expressed by the petitioner is against the delay caused in the disposal of suit, because of the pendency of some interlocutory applications, including the application for temporary injunction.

No other point is raised requiring address by this Court

In view of the nature of the order proposed to be made in the case, no prior notice is considered to be necessary.

Service upon the opposite parties is thus dispensed with.

Accordingly, learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), 2nd Court, Barasat in Title Suit No. 1048 of 2016 is requested to ensure expeditious disposal of the suit referred hereinabove, after causing disposal of interlocutory applications, if there be any pending, providing sufficient opportunity of hearing to either of the parties to this case, but without granting unnecessary adjournment, unless it is extremely unavoidable.

Petitioner is directed to make communication of this order to the learned court below as well as to the learned advocate appearing in the court below for the opposite parties and the opposite parties.

With this observation and direction, the revisional application stands disposed of.

Urgent photostat certified copy of the order, if applied for, be given to the parties on usual undertakings.

(Subhasis Dasgupta, J)