

13.04.2022

27

Ct. No. 29

KAUSHIK

Allowed

C.R.M.(A) 1711 of 2022

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Pandua** Police Station Case No. **500** of **2021** dated **25.11.2021** under Sections 498(A)/406/304(B)/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

And

In Re : Padma Ahir & Anr.

..... petitioners

Mr. Sudip Ghosh Chowdhury

....for the petitioners

Mr. Bidyut Kumar Roy

Ms. Rita Dutta

....for the State

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that, the husband and the mother-in-law were enlarged on bail by the Jurisdictional Court. The police filed charge-sheet. The petitioners were falsely implicated.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the materials in the case diary.

Considering the fact that the police filed charge-sheet and considering the fact that the husband and the mother-in-law of the victim were enlarged on bail by the Jurisdictional Court, we deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, we direct that in the event of arrest the petitioners shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs.10,000/- each, with two sureties of like amount each, to the satisfaction of the arresting officer and also be subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and on condition that the petitioners shall appear every day before the jurisdictional Court on and from the date fixed for appearance of the accused and in default the jurisdictional Court will pass appropriate order to secure the presence of the petitioners in Court including cancelling the anticipatory bail granted without further reference to this Court.

This application for anticipatory bail is, thus, allowed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)