

13.04.2022
Sl. 11
Court No.29
suvayan
(Rejected)

CRM (A) 1695 of 2022

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Ratua** P.S. Case No. **58/22** dated **12/02/2022** under Sections **341/325/307/354/315/34** of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In the matter of: Dhananjay Mahaldar & Ors.

....petitioners.

Ms. Minoti Gomes

Mr. Kausik Biswas

... for the petitioners.

Md. Anwar Hossain

Ms. Benajir Hasna

...for the State.

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were falsely implicated.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the court to the materials in the case diary including the statement of the doctor treating the victim, recorded under Section 161 of the Criminal Procedure Code.

In the statement recorded under Section 161 of the Criminal Procedure Code, the doctor treating the victim states that the victim suffered abortion due to the assault on her.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioners therein as appearing from the materials in the case diary, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

The application for anticipatory bail, being CRM (A) 1695 of 2022, is rejected.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)

