

11.04.2022
Serial no. 23
[KAUSHIK]
(Anticipatory bail
Rejected)

CRM (A) 1654 of 2022

In re : An Application for **Anticipatory Bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Serampore** Police Station Case No. **233** of **2021** dated **01st July, 2021** under Sections **420/379/467/468/471/120B** of the Indian Penal Code and adding section 328 of the Indian Penal Code.

-And-

In the matter of : **Sudipa Ghosh**

... ..**Petitioner**

Mr. Sabir Ahmed,
Mr. Apan Saha, Advocate
... .. For the Petitioner

Mr. Rudradipta Nandy, Advocates
... ..For the State

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not involved in the crime. The account was being operated by the brother of the petitioner with the petitioner not having control over the same. Another co-accused was enlarged on bail by the jurisdictional Court.

Learned advocate appearing for the State submits that the co-accused who was granted bail by the jurisdictional Court was so enlarged on statutory bail. Such co-accused was in a relationship with the de facto complainant and such co-accused misused his relationship with the de facto complainant and forged the signature of the de facto complainant to issue cheques, which were encashed in the accounts belonging to the petitioner.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioner therein as transpiring from

the materials in the case diary, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

CRM (A) 1654 of 2022 is **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)