

08.04.2022
19
Ct. No 29
KAUSHIK
(REJECTED)

C.R.M. (A) 1620 of 2022

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Dantan** Police Station Case No. **84** of **2022** dated **27.02.2022** under Sections 363/365/120B/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code and under Section 27 of the Arms Act.

And

In Re : Binod Jana & Ors.

..... petitioners

Mr. Purnasis Bhuniya

... for the petitioners

Mr. Sudip Kumar

... for the State

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that, the petitioners were falsely implicated.

Learned advocate appearing for the State submits that, the victim was 15 years of age is yet to be recovered.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioners therein, the requirement of custodial interrogation of all the petitioners cannot be discounted at this stage. Consequently, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to any of the petitioners.

Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is rejected and the application being CRM (A) 1620 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)

