

17.5.2022

ks
sl. 26

WPA 5691 of 2022

Vinayak Steel Trade Private Limited

Vs

Superintendent, Barasat Division, Central Goods and
Services Tax and Central Excise, Kolkata North
Commissionerate & Ors.

Mr. Anil Dugar,
Mr. Rajarshi Chatterjee,
Mr. Debabrata Ghosh
... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Bhaskar Prosad Banerjee,
Mr. Sukalpa Seal
... For the Respondent, CGST & CX.

Mr. Rameshwar Sinha
... For the Respondent No.6/UOI.

Heard learned Advocates appearing for the parties
and considering the submission of the parties I am of
the view that there is no scope of passing any interim
order in the matter since Mr. Banerjee, learned
Advocate appearing for the respondents concerned
submits that second show-cause-notice was issued in
a different context though the grounds of issuance in
both the notices may be the same.

Let the respondents file affidavit-in-opposition
within four weeks after the Summer Vacation.
Petitioner to file reply thereto, if any, within two weeks
thereafter.

List this matter for final hearing seven weeks after
the Summer Vacation.

At the time of hearing, parties should be ready with the short written notes of arguments.

(Md. Nizamuddin, J.)