

04.04.2022
12
Ct. No 29
KAUSHIK
(REJECTED)

C.R.M. (A) 1522 of 2022

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Shyampur** Police Station Case No. **50** of **2022** dated **09.02.2022** under Sections 498A/406/509/354B/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Sudarshan Thandar & Anr.

..... petitioners

Mr. Ramdulal Manna
Ms. Manju Manna
Mr. Sayan Mukherjee
Mr. Urfan Ali Mondal

... for the petitioners

Mr. Prasun Kumar Dutta
Mr. Nirupam Dhali

... for the State

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that, two other co-accuseds were granted bail by the Jurisdictional Court.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the statement of the de-facto complainant recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Cr.P.C.).

In her statement, the de-facto complainant implicates both the petitioners herein and attributes specific role to the petitioners.

In such circumstances, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is rejected and the application being CRM (A) 1522 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)