

CRM (A) 1500 of 2022

01.04.2022
Sl. 14
Court No.29
suvayan
(Rejected)

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Dholahat** P.S. Case No. **484 of 2021** dated **15/12/2021** under Sections **286/120B** of the Indian Penal Code and 4/5 E.S. Act.

And

In the matter of: Sahid Hossain Piyada & Ors.

....petitioners.

Mr. Mukteswar Maity

... for the petitioners.

Mr. Imran Ali

Ms. Debjani Sahu

...for the State.

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were initially granted interim bail by the Sessions Court. Thereafter, the same was not extended. The petitioners were falsely implicated.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State submits that eighteen live improvised explosives devices were seized from the house belonging to the first petitioner. The other petitioners assembled at such house. She refers to the seizure list.

There are materials in the case diary implicating all the petitioners. In fact, the necessity of custodial interrogation of the petitioners cannot be ruled out.

Consequently, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

The application for anticipatory bail, being CRM (A) 1500 of 2022, is rejected.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)

