

01.04.2022
Serial no. 10
[Dd]
(Anticipatory bail
Rejected)

CRM (A) 1494 of 2022

In re : An Application for **Anticipatory Bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Barrackpore Women** Police Station Case No. **6** of **2022** dated **10th Day of February, 2022** under Sections **498A/406/376** of the Indian Penal Code read with Sections **3/ 4** of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

-And-

In the matter of : **Anjan Das**

... ..**Petitioner**

Mr. Kaustav Bagchi,
Mr. Debayan Ghosh,
Ms. Priti Kar, Advocates
... .. For the Petitioner

Mr. Ranadeb Sengupta, Advocate
... ..For the State

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was falsely implicated. The petitioner is the brother-in-law of the victim. The brother of the petitioner, that is the husband of the victim, lodged a complaint for restitution of conjugal rights. Thereafter, the police complaint was lodged falsely implicating the petitioner.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the statement of the victim recorded under Section 164 of the Criminal Procedure Code.

Considering the fact that the victim names the petitioner as the person involved in the offence under Section 376 of the IPC, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

CRM (A) 1494 of 2022 is **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)