

CRR 1010 of 2022

In the matter of : Graha Raj Kundu

Ms. Minoti Gomes
Mr. Kausik Biswas ... for the Petitioner

Mr. Imran Ali
Ms. Debjani Sahu ... for the State

This is an application seeking an expeditious disposal of a proceeding in which a charge sheet was submitted under sections 323, 341, 506 and 509 of the Indian Penal Code.

Let a copy of the revisional application be served upon Mr. Imran Ali, and Ms. Debaji Sahu, learned counsels, who ordinarily appear on behalf of the State. They are requested to appear in this matter. Their engagement may be regularised by the competent authority of the State in due course.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner is a teacher in a school. The impugned proceeding is a fall out of a dispute between colleagues. The present F.I.R. was lodged primarily alleging that the petitioner had pushed a lady and abused her. Because of pendency of this application, he could not take transfer to the place where her mother resides. She is a cancer patient. An F.I.R. was lodged on 30.11.2018. A charge sheet was submitted on 31.12.2018. Till date even charges could not be framed. The impugned proceeding has remained pending for no fault on the part of the petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State submits that State would not come in the way if a direction is passed for an expeditious disposal of the proceeding.

I have heard the submissions of the learned counsels for the appearing for the petitioner and the State and have perused the revision petition.

No prejudice is will be caused to anyone if a direction is passed to expedite the proceeding.

It appears that some delay has been occasioned in concluding the trial, especially considering the fact that the F.I.R. was lodged in 2018.

For an F.I.R. was lodged in November 2018 and a charge sheet that was submitted in December 2018, atleast charges ought to have been framed by now.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, the learned Trial Court is requested to conclude the proceeding as expeditiously as possible without granting any unnecessary adjournment to any of the parties and in particular, to consider the question of framing of charge at the earliest , preferably within a period of three months from next date of hearing

With these observations, the revisional application is disposed of.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties, upon completion of requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)