

30.03.2022

Serial no. 39

[Dd]

(Anticipatory bail
Rejected)

CRM(A) 1479 of 2022

In re : An Application for **Anticipatory Bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Bhagwangola** Police Station Case No. **93** of **2020** dated **19.02.2020** under Sections **406/ 409 / 381 / 420 / 468 / 471/120B** of the Indian Penal Code.

-And-

In the matter of : **Sri Pritam Ghosh & Ors.**

... ..Petitioners

Mr. Kallol Mondal,

Ms. Sofia Nesar, Advocates

... .. For the Petitioners

Mr. Rudradipta Nandy, Advocate

... ..For the State

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the branch manager was granted anticipatory bail by the jurisdictional Court. The petitioners were falsely implicated. The petitioners did not receive any repayment.

Learned advocate appearing for the State submits that the petitioner no. 1 is the cashier-in-charge of the branch and petitioner nos. 2 and 3 are the single window operators of the branch. The gold loan was disbursed to fictitious persons. The loans were granted on the basis of the so-called gold deposited with the Bank. The Bank is now without the so-called deposits of the gold. The petitioners are involved in the process of the disbursement.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioners therein as transpiring from

the materials in the case diary, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is **rejected**.

CRM(A) 1479 of 2022 is **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)