

28.03.2022
Serial no.78
[Dd]
(Bail **Rejected**)

CRM(DB) 805 of 2022

In re : An Application for **Bail** under Section **439** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Domjur** Police Station Case No. **611** of **2021** dated **07.08.2021** under Sections **448/376(3)** of the Indian Penal Code read with Section **4/ 6** of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act [Special T.R. NO. 96 of 2021] subsequently charge sheet submitted under Sections 448/376(3) of IPC read with Sections 4 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act,

-And-

In the matter of : Md. Sahid Ansari @ Naju
... ..**Petitioner**

Mr. Sakhawat Khandakar, Advocates
... .. *For the Petitioner*

Mr. Partha Pratim Das,
Ms. Manasi Roy, Advocates
... ..For the State

Petitioner seeks bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for 232 days. The police filed charge sheet and, therefore, further detention of the petitioner is not required. He highlights the respective ages of the victim as well as the petitioner.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the statement of the victim recorded under Section 164 of the Criminal Procedure Code and materials in the case diary.

The victim squarely implicates the petitioner in her statement recorded under Section 164 of the Criminal Procedure Code. Her statement is somewhat corroborated

of an eye-witness whose statement was recorded under Section 161 of the Criminal Procedure.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioner therein as transpiring from the materials in the case diary, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

CRM(DB) 805 of 2022 is **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)