

21.03.2022

31

Ct. No 29

KAUSHIK
(REJECTED)

C.R.M. (A) 1309 of 2022

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Beldanga** Police Station Case No. **26** of **2022** dated **17.01.2022** under Sections 324/326/307/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 3/4/5 of the Explosive Substances Act, 1908 and Section 304 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

And

In Re : Md. Sorabuddin Sk @ Surbuddin Sk & Ors.

..... petitioners

Mr. Anisur Rahaman

.... for the petitioners

Mr. Saibal Bapuli

Mr. Arani Bhattacharya

... for the State

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners seek leave to correct the cause title in view of addition of Section 304 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 subsequent to the filing of the application for anticipatory bail.

Leave as prayed for is granted.

He submits that, no recovery was made from any of the petitioners. The police lodged the complaint suo motu. One of the petitioner is a 'Panchayat Pradhan'. He submits that, all the petitioners were falsely implicated.

Learned advocate appearing for the State submits that, more than seventy improvised explosive devices were recovered through the search and seizure. There are sufficient materials implicating all the petitioners.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioners therein and considering the fact that

improvised explosive devices were seized by the police, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is rejected and the application being CRM (A) 1309 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)