

23.03.2022
Serial no. 32
[G.S.D]

CRM (DB) 744 of 2022

In re : An Application for **Bail** under Section **439** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **G.R. Case No. 6630 of 2021** arising out of **Sankrail** Police Station Case No. **1402 of 2021** dated **23.11.2021** under Sections **302/120B/34** of the IPC read with Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act.

-And-

In the matter of : Md. Tafique @ Md. Toufik @ Md. Taufik @Mani

... .. Petitioner

Mr. Mrintunjoy Chatterjee

Md. G. N. Imrohi

Mr. Debapriya Majumder, Advocates

... .. For the Petitioner

Mr. Pawan Kr. Gupta

... for the defacto-complainant

Mr. Sanjoy Bardhan,

Mr. Palash Chandra Majhi, Advocates

... ..For the State

The petitioner seeks bail.

Learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that no specific role was contributed by the petitioner with regard to the incident. One of the co-accused was granted bail by the Sessions Court.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the materials in the case diary. He submits that one of the eyewitnesses names the petitioner. There is a statement recorded under Section 161 of the Cr.P.C. naming the petitioner and implicating him in the incident.

Seizure of articles were made pursuant to the statement made by the petitioner.

Considering the gravity of the offence and involvement of the petitioner and also considering the materials in the case diary, we are unable to grant bail to the petitioner.

The prayer for bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

CRM (DB) 744 of 2022 is **disposed of**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)