

(Bail Rejected)

-And-

... ..**Petitioner**

Mr. Arindam Jana,
Mr. Suchismita Dutta, Advocates
... ..For the de facto complainant

Learned advocate appearing for the State submits that the petitioner is the mastermind in the incident. He refers to the postmortem report. He submits that the victim received knife injuries also apart from bullet injury. He

submits that the petitioner was provided the vehicle for the incident along with the knife used. He refers to the telephonic call between the maternal uncle of the petitioner and another person with regard to sale of the vehicle used.

The de facto complainant is represented.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioner therein as *prima facie* transpiring from the materials in the case diary, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

CRM (DB) 743 of 2022 is **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)