

17.03.2022
Sl. 27
Court No.29
sourav
(Rejected)

C.R.M. (DB) 725 of 2022

In Re: - An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on **10.03.2022** in connection with **Bankura** P.S. Case No. **248** of **2021** dated **05.10.2021** under Sections **406/408/409/419/420/465/466/467/468/471/477/120B/34** of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In the matter of: **Sandip Dutta @ Sandip Datta**

....petitioner.

Mr. Milon Mukherjee, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Saibal Mondal

Ms. Nibedita Chakraborty

...for the petitioner.

Mr. Madhusudan Sur, Ld. APP

Mr. Dipankar Pramanick

...for the State.

Petitioner seeks bail.

Learned senior advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was falsely implicated. The petitioner is in custody for 158 days. The petitioner was asked by the principal accused to allow the principal accused to utilize the bank account of the petitioner. A sum of Rs. 3 lakhs was initially deposited in the bank account of the petitioner. Such sum was taken away by the principal accused. The petitioner did not benefit out of the transactions at all. He refers to the statement of another person who dealt with the money of the Court, recorded under Section 164 of the Criminal Procedure Code.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the materials in the case diary. He submits that the petitioner was part of the group of persons who was extracting money out the Bankura district judgeship for illegal gains.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioner therein and considering the fact the petitioner

allowed money of the judgeship to be deposited in his bank account, we are unable to grant bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.

C.R.M. (DB) 725 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)

