

66 15.03.2022
(AD) Court No.29
(Rejected)

C.R.M. (DB) 720 of 2022

In Re: - An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Nalhati** P.S. Case No.**141 of 2021** dated **03/05/2021** and G.R. No.588 of 2021 and Sessions Case No.97 of 2021 under Section **302** of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In the matter of: Sadhan Let @ Chiranjit Let

....petitioner.

Mr. Prosenjit Mukherjee
Mr. Saptarshi Chakraborty
Mr. Arghya Kamal Das

...for the petitioner.

Mr. Saibal Bapuli, Ld. APP
Mr. Arani Bhattacharyya

... for the State.

Petitioner renews the prayer for bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for 310 days. He submits that although the police seized bloodstain weapons, such weapons were not sent for forensic examination.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the statement recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of the eye-witness implicating the petitioner in the crime.

Considering the fact that there is hardly any material change in circumstance subsequent to the earlier order of rejection and considering the materials in the case diary including the statement of eye-witness recorded under Section

164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure and considering the gravity of the offence and the complicity of the petitioner therein, we are unable to grant bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.

C.R.M. (DB) 720 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)