

25 09.03.2022
(AD) Court No.29
(Rejected)

C.R.M. (A) 1163 of 2022

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **C.R. case no. 290 of 2021, O.R. no.23/BLG of 2021-2022** dated **19/12/2021** under Sections **51(1)/55** of the Wildlife Protection Act, 1972.

And

In the matter of: - Jugal Kishor Barman @ Yougal Kishore Barman

...petitioner.

Mr. Kaushik Chowdhury

Ms. Busra Khatoon

... for the petitioner.

Mr. Sabir Ahmed

...for the State.

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was falsely implicated. No wildlife was recovered from the petitioner. The co-accuseds from whom the wildlife was recovered took the name of the petitioner.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State submits that turtles were seized from the possession of the persons from whom the name of the petitioner transpired.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioner therein, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

C.R.M. (A) 1163 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)