

CRM (A) 1146 of 2022

In re: An Application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 03.03.2022 in connection with Rejinagar P.S. Case No. 111 of 2021 dated 31.05.2021 under Sections 448/326/307/302/120B/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

-And-

In the matter of : **Laden alias Sanowar Sk alias Sanuar Sk & Anr.**

... ..Petitioners

Mr. Angshuman Chakraborty, Advocate
Mr. S.S.Saha, Advocate

... .. For the Petitioners

Mr. Madhusudan Sur, Id. APP

Mr. Manoranjan Mahata, Advocate

... .. For the State

Mr. U.S. Dewan, Advocate

Mr. R. Abedin, Advocate

Mr. A. Dewan, Advocate

... .. for de facto complainant

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were falsely implicated.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State submits that the petitioners are named in the first information report. Their complicity in the murder of two persons and injury of four other persons appear from the statements recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of the eye witnesses.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioners therein as transpiring from the materials available in the case diary, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

CRM (A) 1146 of 2022 is rejected.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)