

10.03.2022
Ct. 21
D/L 166
ab

C.O. 480 of 2022
(Via Video Conference)

Sayan Ghosh
-Vs-
Sneha Ghosh

Mr. Rajdeep Bhattacharya,
... for the petitioner

Mr. Rajdeep Bhattacharya, learned advocate
appears for the petitioner.

Record shows that the no notice of this
application has been served upon the opposite party.

The petitioner by filing the present application
under Article 227 of the Constitution of India has
prayed for expeditious disposal of Matrimonial Suit No.
970 of 2019, pending before the learned Additional
District Judge, 3rd Court, Howrah.

The learned advocate for the petitioner submits
that the learned Court below has been granting
unnecessary adjournments and due to which, there is a
delay in disposal of the case and such delay is causing
hardship to the petitioner.

Having regard to the nature of relief claimed by
the petitioner, being a prayer for expeditious disposal of
the matrimonial suit, I do not find any need to serve

notice of the present application on the opposite party and it is not likely to cause any prejudice to the interest of the opposite party. Therefore, service of notice upon the opposite party is dispensed with.

The learned Additional District Judge, 3rd Court, Howrah is requested to dispose of Matrimonial Suit No. 970 of 2019, as expeditiously as possible and in any event, within a period of one year from the date of communication of this order, without granting any adjournment whatsoever to any of the parties but being regard to the diary maintained by him/her.

Accordingly, the revisional application being **C.O. 480 of 2022** is disposed of.

There shall be no order as to costs.

Parties are to act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties, upon compliance of all formalities.

(**Kesang Doma Bhutia, J.**)