

03.03.2022
33
Ct. No 29
KAUSHIK
(REJECTED)

C.R.M. (A) 1069 of 2022

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Golfgreen** Police Station Case No. **27** of **2022** dated **03.02.2022** under Section 417/376/420 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Dipanjan Sadhukhan

..... petitioner

Ms. Subhasree Patel
Mr. Soham Banerjee
Ms. Puja Mahapatra

.... for the petitioner

Mr. Gora Chand Samanta

.... for the de-facto

Mr. Bidyut Kumar Roy
Ms. Rita Dutta

... for the State

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that, the petitioner was falsely implicated. She draws the attention of the Court to the general diary made on behalf of the petitioner. She submits that the police complaint is a result of love relationship turning sour.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the statement of the victim recorded under Section 164 of the Code of the Criminal Procedure (Cr.P.C.).

Learned advocate appearing for the de-facto complainant submits that, the petitioner took objectionable pictures of the de-facto complainant and threatened to circulate the same.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that, in fact, it is the petitioner who was blackmailed by the de-facto complainant over a period of time.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioner therein and considering the 164 Cr.P.C. statement of the victim, which speaks of not only being ravished by the petitioner but also of objectionable photographs of her being taken, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected and the application being CRM (A) 1069 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)