

02.03.2022
28
Ct. No 29
KAUSHIK
(REJECTED)

C.R.M. (A) 1062 of 2022

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Manickchak** Police Station Case No. **536** of **2021** dated **31.12.2021** under Sections 376/313/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Prasanta Mandal @ Prosanta Mandal

..... petitioner

Mr. Kallol Mondal
Mr. M. Alam Sk.

.... for the petitioner

Mr. Imran Ali
Mr. Nirupam Dhali

... for the State

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that, all other co-accused were enlarged on anticipatory bail by the Jurisdictional Court. The petitioner was falsely implicated.

Learned advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the statement of the victim recorded under Section 164 of the Code of the Criminal Procedure (Cr.P.C.) and the contents in the case diary including the photographs seized.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioner therein, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected and the application being CRM (A) 1062 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)

