

35
jdt.

04.07.2022
jb.

W.P.A. 3668 of 2022
(Paritosh Chandra Roy vs. State of West Bengal & Ors.)

Mr. Surajit Roy
.... For the Petitioner

Mr. Sirsanya Bandyopadhyay
Ms. Tapati Samanta
.... For the State

Affidavit of service filed by the petitioner is taken on record.

None appears for the 5th respondent despite service.

The petitioner has prayed for re-determination of the amount of compensation granted to him in view of acquisition of his land in terms of judgment and decree passed by the learned Land Acquisition Judge in Land Acquisition Miscellaneous Case No. 195 of 2006. The petitioner submits that the representation submitted by the petitioner in this regard has not yet been considered. The petitioner prays for a direction upon the Authority to consider the representation at the earliest.

Having considered the submissions made on behalf of the parties and material on record, the writ

petition is disposed of directing the 4th respondent to consider and dispose of the representation submitted by the petitioner dated 25th June, 2010 within one month from the date of communication of this order after giving reasonable opportunity of hearing to the interested persons including the petitioner, in accordance with law.

The decision taken by the Authority shall be communicated to the petitioner within a week thereof.

W.P.A. 3668 of 2022 is thus disposed of.

There shall be no order as to costs.

Since no affidavit has been invited, allegations contained in the writ petition shall be deemed not to have been admitted.

Urgent certified website copy of the order, if applied for, be given to the parties on compliance of requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)