

03.03.2022
Sl. 02
Court No.29
suvayan
(Rejected)

CRM (A) 981 of 2022

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Dakshin Dinajpur Cyber Crimes** P.S. Case No. **11 of 2021** dated **15/12/2021** under Sections **467/471/468/420/506/34** of the Indian Penal Code.
And

In the matter of: Sanjib Patra

....petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Gupta

... for the petitioner.

Mr. Swapan Banerjee
Mr. Anindya Sundar Chatterjee

...for the State.

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was falsely implicated.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State submits that there are serious allegations of cheating as against the petitioner. The amount of involvement in excess of Rs.25,00,000/-.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner himself as a victim of fraud.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioner therein, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The application for anticipatory bail, being CRM (A) 981 of 2022, is rejected.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)

