

22.03.2022
Sl. 141
Court No.29
suvayan
(Rejected)

CRM (A) 916 of 2022

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Domkal** P.S. Case No. **692/2021** dated **17/11/2021** under Sections **354(c)/354(d)/509** of the Indian Penal Code and Section **4** of the Indecent Representation of Women (Prohibition) Act, 1986.

And

In the matter of: Khalek Biswas

....petitioner.

Mr. Samiul Akhtar Munshi

... for the petitioner.

Mr. Swapan Banerjee

Mr. Anindya Sundar Chatterjee

...for the State.

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was falsely implicated.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State draws the attention of the Court to the materials in the case diary including the statement of the victim recorded under Section 164 of the Criminal Procedure Code and the photographs which were seized from the mobile phone of the petitioner.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioner therein, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The application for anticipatory bail, being CRM (A) 916 of 2022, is rejected.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)

