

8
(AD) 24.02.2022
Court No.29
(Rejected)

C.R.M. (A) 908 of 2022

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Jalangi** P.S. Case No. **740 of 2019** dated **28/10/2019** under Sections **120B/286/304** of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections **3 & 4** of the Explosive Substances Act.

And

In the matter of: - Anarul Mondal & Ors.

...petitioners.

Mr. Sabir Ahmed

Mr. Apan Saha

... for the petitioners.

Mr. Rudradipta Nandy

...for the State.

Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.

Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were falsely implicated. The petitioners belong to a rival political party and, therefore, their names were included in order to falsely implicate them. The police submitted charge sheet. Therefore, custodial interrogation of the petitioners are not required.

Learned Advocate appearing for the State submits that all the petitioners are named in the statements recorded under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. He highlights the quantity of improvised explosive devices recovered from the site. He also highlights the fact that three persons died.

In reply, the learned Advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that no specific role can be attributed to any of the petitioners.

Considering the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioners therein, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail

to the petitioners.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is rejected.

C.R.M. (A) 908 of 2022 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Bibhas Ranjan De, J.)