

29 23.03.
2022

AGM
/RKB
Ct
07

C.O. 347 of 2022

**Brajakishore Maity
Vs
Sri Srikanta Kumar Maity @ Srikanta Maity &
Anr**

Mr. Rajkumar Sain, ... For the petitioner.

A direction to secure expeditious disposal, upon resorting to Article 227 of the Constitution of India, is the ultimate prayer in this revisional application.

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that a suit for eviction and recovery of khas possession was instituted in the year 2008, and till date the same is pending for decision.

In view of the nature of the order proposed to be made and bearing in mind the long pendency of the suit, service of notice of this application upon the opposite parties is considered to be not necessary.

Accordingly, service of notice of this application upon opposite parties stands dispensed with.

Upon perusal of the photocopy of the latest orders annexed with the instant revisional application, it appears that interlocutory application dated 28th February, 2019 is pending for decision.

Accordingly, learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Haldia, Purba Medinipur in Title Suit No. 43 of 2008 is directed to ensure expeditious

disposal of the pending suit after disposing of all interlocutory applications, if there be any pending, preferably within a period of 18 months from the date of communication of this order, but without granting unnecessary adjournments, unless it is extremely unavoidable.

While endeavouring such exercise, an opportunity of hearing to both the parties must be ensured in this case.

With this observation and direction, the revisional application stands disposed of.

(Subhasis Dasgupta, J)